

## D4.1

# Standard operating procedure for the assessment of existing bridges





## D4.1

Standard operating procedure for the assessment of existing bridges

Type of report, Version, 27 October 2017

Version	Released date	Changes
1	2016-10-14	Preliminary table of contents sent to Partners
2	2016-12-06	Draft sent to Partners and external Reviewers for comments
3	2016-12-29	Final version incorporating received comments
4	2017-10-27	Chapter numbers in Executive Summary corrected

### Colophon

Copyright © 2015-2018. All rights reserved.

This publication is produced by SUREBRIDGE work group:

The main authors are: Fabio Ricci, Paolo S. Valvo

Co-authors are: Juan Carlos Miranda Santos, Erika Davini, Cristiano Alocci, Antonfranco Pasquale

Recommended publication reference:

The authors intended not to use any copyrighted material for the publication or, if not possible, to indicate the copyright of the respective object. The copyright for any material created by the authors is reserved. Any duplication or use of objects such as diagrams, sounds or texts in other electronic or printed publications is not permitted without the author's agreement.

The authors are not responsible for the topicality, correctness, completeness or quality of the information provided. Liability claims regarding damage caused by the use of any information provided, including any kind of information which is incomplete or incorrect, will therefore be rejected. If you notice information in this publication that you believe should be corrected or updated, please contact us. We shall try to remedy the problem.

### Acknowledgements

The authors express their gratitude to Prof. Anna De Falco, University of Pisa, for her thoughtful review of the draft of this deliverable.



The information in this publication does not necessarily represent the view of the Infraction.

*This project has received funding from the European Union's Seventh Framework Programme for research, technological development and demonstration under grant agreement No. 31109806.0009.*

*SUREBRIDGE project is co-funded by Funding Partners of the ERA-NET Plus Infraction and the European Commission. The Funding Partners of the Infraction 2014 Call are:*

*MINISTERIE VAN INFRASTRUCTUUR EN MILIEU, RIJKSWATERSTAAT*

*BUNDESMINISTERIUM FÜR VERKEHR, BAU UND STADTENTWICKLUNG,*

*DANISH ROAD DIRECTORATE,*

*STATENS VEGVESEN VEGDIREKTORATET,*

*TRAFIKVERKET – TRV,*

*VEGAGERDIN,*

*MINISTERE DE L'ÉCOLOGIE, DU DÉVELOPPEMENT DURABLE ET DE L'ÉNERGIE,*

*CENTRO PARA EL DESARROLLO TECNOLÓGICO INDUSTRIAL,*

*ANAS S.p.A.,*

*NETIVEI, ISRAEL - NATIONAL TRANSPORT INFRASTRUCTURE COMPANY LTD,*

*FEDERAL HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION USDOT*





# Table of contents

<b>1</b>	<b>EXECUTIVE SUMMARY.....</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION.....</b>	<b>5</b>
2.1	LITERATURE REVIEW .....	5
2.2	STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURE .....	6
<b>3</b>	<b>STRUCTURAL INVESTIGATIONS .....</b>	<b>9</b>
3.1	PRELIMINARY OPERATIONS .....	9
3.1.1	Job acquisition from the Client .....	9
3.1.2	First visual inspection.....	9
3.1.3	Survey and testing plan .....	9
3.1.4	Search for existing documents .....	11
3.1.5	Simulated design .....	12
3.2	SURVEYS.....	12
3.2.1	Topographic survey.....	12
3.2.2	Geometric survey .....	13
3.2.3	Structural survey .....	14
3.2.4	Damage and degradation mapping.....	15
3.2.5	Geotechnical survey .....	15
3.2.5.1	<i>Subsoil</i> .....	15
3.2.5.2	<i>Foundations</i> .....	16
3.2.6	Elaboration and graphical rendering of surveys.....	16
3.3	EXPERIMENTAL TESTS .....	16
3.3.1	Update of survey and testing plan .....	16
3.3.2	Tests on materials.....	16
3.3.2.1	<i>Concrete</i> .....	17
3.3.2.2	<i>Reinforced concrete and prestressed concrete steel</i> .....	17
3.3.2.3	<i>Steel for metallic structures</i> .....	17
3.3.2.4	<i>Masonry</i> .....	18
3.3.3	Tests on overall structural behaviour .....	19
3.3.3.1	<i>Dynamic acquisitions</i> .....	19



3.3.3.2	<i>Proof load tests</i> .....	20
<b>4</b>	<b>STRUCTURAL ANALYSIS</b> .....	<b>21</b>
4.1	INTRODUCTION .....	21
4.2	MECHANICAL MODELLING OF BRIDGES BASED ON EXPERIMENTAL TEST RESULTS .....	21
4.2.1	Structural components of multi-girder bridges.....	21
4.2.2	Structural model .....	22
4.2.2.1	<i>Geometry</i> .....	22
4.2.2.2	<i>Joints</i> .....	22
4.2.2.3	<i>Elements</i> .....	22
4.2.3	Restraints and constraints.....	23
4.2.3.1	<i>Positioning of restraints</i> .....	23
4.2.3.2	<i>Modelling of composite action</i> .....	23
4.2.4	Materials.....	25
4.2.5	Actions .....	26
4.2.6	Modelling approaches for multi-girder bridges.....	27
4.2.6.1	<i>Line beam model</i> .....	27
4.2.6.2	<i>Grillage beam model</i> .....	28
4.2.6.3	<i>Grillage beam and shell element model</i> .....	28
4.2.6.4	<i>Full shell element model</i> .....	29
4.2.6.5	<i>Choice of modelling approach</i> .....	30
4.2.7	Additional indications for the modelling of composite cross-section bridges.....	30
4.2.7.1	<i>Effective properties of cracked concrete sections</i> .....	30
4.2.7.2	<i>Additional indications for steel girders</i> .....	31
4.2.7.3	<i>Construction phases</i> .....	32
4.3	ANALYSIS .....	32
4.3.1	Linear elastic analysis .....	33
4.3.2	Linear analysis with limited re-distribution .....	33
4.3.3	Plastic analysis .....	33
4.3.4	Non-linear analysis.....	33
4.3.5	Modal analysis .....	33
4.3.6	Seismic response spectrum analysis.....	34
4.3.7	Time history analysis .....	34
4.4	STRUCTURAL IDENTIFICATION AND MODEL UPDATE .....	34
4.5	SAFETY EVALUATION .....	35
4.5.1	Limit States .....	35



4.5.2	Combinations of actions.....	36
4.5.3	Verifications.....	38
4.5.4	Evaluation of load-carrying capacity .....	39
<b>5</b>	<b>CONCLUSIONS .....</b>	<b>41</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>REFERENCES.....</b>	<b>42</b>



# 1 Executive summary

This report presents deliverable D4.1 from Work Package 4 of the SUREBridge project, defined in contract documentation as “Standard operating procedure for the analysis of existing bridges”. In the title, the word “analysis” has been replaced here by the word “assessment”, which turned out to be mostly used in the investigated literature. However, the contents of this deliverable are not different from those outlined in contract documentation.

The work behind this report has been carried out from January to December 2016. The responsible partner for deliverable 4.1 was AICE Consulting Srl (AIC) with scientific support from the University of Pisa (UniPI). The adopted approach was to study the literature – including national regulations and standards – on the subject in light of AIC’s direct experience in the field. AIC was founded in 1990 by a merger with a previous company founded in 1980. During its 35 years of activity, AIC has carried out more than 1000 specific commissions regarding buildings and other civil constructions. In particular, AIC has investigated approximately 100 existing bridges of various types, mainly made of concrete and masonry.

Based on the above, a standard operating procedure (SOP) for the assessment of existing bridges has been defined. The suggested flow of operations includes both experimental activities and theoretical analyses. The SOP is intended to help bridge owners, consultants, and designers to evaluate the load-carrying capacity of existing bridges and envisage the needs for strengthening. Focus is on bridges falling within the scope of the SUREBridge project, namely bridges with steel or concrete girders and reinforced concrete deck.

In Chapter 2, the literature on the structural assessment of existing bridges is first briefly recalled. Then, the main tasks involved in the developed SOP are introduced.

Chapter 3 is devoted to the detailed description of the structural investigations suggested by the SOP. Experimental activities are aimed at obtaining the values of the parameters needed for the theoretical analysis and verification of the structure, as well as for the subsequent design of the strengthening intervention.

Chapter 4 furnishes guidelines for modelling the structure and the actions on it, as well as for conducting the structural verifications. It should be made clear, however, that in the assessment of a real bridge and in the design of a real strengthening intervention, it will be the designer’s responsibility to choose the appropriate testing techniques and modelling approaches to ensure the fulfilment of structural verifications in accordance with national regulations and standards.

A separate Appendix [1] illustrates the application of the SOP to the assessment of the San Miniato bridge, chosen as case study for the SUREBridge project.